

Mutual Evaluation Reports
Effective Implementation of *Immediate Outcomes
****Effectiveness Ratings - A Comparative Table**

Immediate Outcomes	Cook Islands	Australia	USA	Singapore	Samoa	Vanuatu	Isle of Man	Switzerland
IO1. Risk, policy, coordination	S	S	S	S	M	L	S	S
IO2. International cooperation	S	H	S	S	S	L	S	M
IO3. Supervision	S	M	M	M	L	L	M	M
IO4. Preventative measures	M	M	M	M	M	L	M	M
IO5. Legal persons and arrangements	S	M	L	M	M	L	M	M
IO6. Financial intelligence	M	S	S	S	L	L	L	S
IO7. ML investigation and prosecution	L	M	S	M	L	L	L	S
IO8. Confiscation	L	M	H	M	M	L	L	S
IO9. TF investigation and prosecution	M	S	H	L	M	L	M	S
IO10. TF preventative measures and financial sanctions	S	M	H	M	M	L	M	S
IO11. Financial sanctions	M	S	H	S	L	L	M	S

* The 11 Immediate Outcomes assess the extent to which a jurisdiction achieves a defined set of outcomes that are central to a proper functioning and effective AML/CFT system with expected results based on the ML and TF risk profile of that jurisdiction.

** All ratings are as published on either the FATF or APGML websites at 31 October 2018:

High (H) – the IO is achieved to a very large extent, minor improvements needed;

Substantial (S) – the IO is achieved to a large extent, moderate improvements needed;

Moderate (M) – the IO is achieved to some extent, major improvements needed;

Low (L) – the IO is not achieved, fundamental improvements needed.